## Examination Part A

### Assessment of TD-candidate

**Name:**

**Nation:**

**Date:**

**Competition:**

**Assigned Duties:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Credits*</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cooperation with OC and teams</td>
<td>Ability to work with competition staff for assigned tasks, understands team requirements (waxing, ski testing, coaching zones etc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Knowledge of competition formats</td>
<td>Level of knowledge and ability to review &amp; evaluate field of play layout and operational preparation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Knowledge of Rules and Jury Guidelines</td>
<td>Familiarity with ICR and ability to use and interpret ICR and Jury + other guidelines in specific cases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Knowledge of course preparation</td>
<td>Ability to review &amp; evaluate snow preparation, track setting, and marking (both techniques)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Skiing Ability</td>
<td>Able to ski all types of courses in both techniques</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Assured manner in Jury/Team Captain’s meeting</td>
<td>Contributes to jury meetings, team player. Ability to explain a rule or jury information at a TCM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Dealing with problems</td>
<td>Ability to react quickly with clear and constructive solutions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Competition Control</td>
<td>Knowledge of infractions, ability to identify and react, can evaluate and advise on competition control set up, timing report.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Communication Skills</td>
<td>Communicates in English, ability to communicate jury information to OC/Teams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Feedback OC</td>
<td>Provides constructive feedback for OC. Feedback by the OC on candidate performance.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Overall assessment by the TD**

**Overall assessment by the regional coordinator**

*Each criterion worth 10 points: 1= very poor/lacking, 5=minimum acceptable, 10=excellent/very strong*

**Place, date:**

**Signature TD/Expert:** ________________________________

**Signature Regional Coordinator:** ________________________________
Guidelines for TD/expert responsible for Part A Assessment:

The assessment of Part A candidates is a key part of TD education and is meant to be a positive learning opportunity designed to assess a candidate’s readiness be a TD by:

- providing direct experience with jury work,
- assessing the candidate’s knowledge, skills and ability to work in a jury team
- providing constructive feedback on areas for improvement and preparation for the Part B assessment

The supervising TD/Expert has the responsibility to engage the candidate in different jury tasks to provide a broad exposure to jury and TD work. Some of the duties that may be assigned include:

- Pre-competition
  - Review start lists, FIS points
  - Prepare & organise documents for jury work
  - Provide input for Team Captain’s meeting
  - Review and check information for timing report

- Review/evaluate:
  - OC plans and layout of ski testing / ski depot areas
  - OC layout and marking of stadium
  - OC grooming and readiness for competition by skiing course
  - OC marking of turning zones, technique control zones
  - Training day evaluation of tracksetting, turning zones, v-board placement in curves
  - OC infraction video control locations
  - Infraction video applying jury guidelines

- During competition – assigned tasks
  - Start / finish
  - Exchange zone
  - Course control
  - Classical technique zones

- Post competition tasks
  - Results checking
  - Feedback to OC
  - Provide input for TD report

A minimum of three assigned duties is expected involving practical tasks. Other’s can be assessed as theoretical tasks or feedback from independent evaluation/opinion (i.e. skiing the course, suggestions for TCM, OC feedback, video control locations etc.) using the competition as a case study.